

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF GEORGIA
ATHENS DIVISION

In the Matter of:	:	Chapter 13
	:	
TERRY CARL PERRY and	:	
MARILYN MILLER PERRY,	:	
	:	
Debtors	:	Case No. 03-31648 RFH
	:	
TERRY CARL PERRY,	:	
	:	
Plaintiff	:	
	:	
vs.	:	
	:	
PUCKETT FOUNDATIONS,	:	
BECKY JONES, RANDY JONES,	:	
HON. HARRY F. RICE, and	:	
ROBERT LAVENDER,	:	
	:	
Defendants	:	Adversary Proceeding
	:	No. 04-3030

BEFORE

ROBERT F. HERSHNER, JR.
CHIEF UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

COUNSEL:

For Plaintiff:	Mr. W. Ross McConnell 191 East Broad Street, #218 Athens, Georgia 30601
----------------	---

For Hon. Harry F. Rice, Defendent:	Mr. Michael C. Pruett Post Office Box 207 Watkinsville, Georgia 30677
---------------------------------------	---

MEMORANDUM OPINION

The Hon. Harry F. Rice, Defendant, (hereafter “Judge Rice”), filed a motion to dismiss on June 25, 2004. Terry Carl Perry, Plaintiff, filed a response on July 26, 2004. The Court, having considered the record and the arguments of counsel, now publishes this memorandum opinion.

The Court, in considering the motion to dismiss, will accept as true the well plead facts in Plaintiff’s complaint. Judge Rice bears a “very high burden” of showing that Plaintiff cannot conceivably prove any set of facts that would entitle him to relief. Dudley v. Citicorp Mortgage, Inc., (In re Dudley), Ch. 7 Case No. 02-51225 RFH, Adv. No. 02-5087 (Bankr. M.D. Ga., Jan. 10, 2003).

Randy Jones and Becky Jones (hereafter “Jones”) hired Plaintiff to construct an addition to their home. Plaintiff subcontracted with Puckett Foundations to provide materials and labor. Plaintiff received certain payments from the Jones. Plaintiff failed to pay his subcontractor, Puckett Foundations.

Plaintiff and his wife filed a joint petition under Chapter 13 of the Bankruptcy Code on September 17, 2003. The Jones and Puckett Foundations knew that Plaintiff had filed for bankruptcy relief. The Jones, on September 19, 2003, filed with the Magistrate Court of Madison County, Georgia, an Application For Warrant Issuance Hearing. The application alleges, in part, that Plaintiff had failed to pay Puckett Foundations. Puckett Foundations filed on October 3, 2003, a materialman’s lien

against the Jones's property for the purpose of collecting the debt owed by Plaintiff.

Judge Rice, Chief Magistrate of the Magistrate Court of Madison County, conducted a hearing on October 16, 2003, on the Jones's application for a warrant. Becky Jones testified that no criminal charges would be brought if Plaintiff paid the debt owed to Puckett Foundations and if the materialman's lien was removed. About one week later, Becky Jones told Plaintiff that Judge Rice would find probable cause to issue a warrant for Plaintiff's arrest unless he paid the debt to Puckett Foundations. Plaintiff filed a Plea in Stay with the magistrate court on October 23, 2003. Plaintiff's counsel told Judge Rice that Plaintiff's debt to Puckett Foundations was dischargeable in bankruptcy and that "the case lacked criminal culpability." Sometime later, Plaintiff learned that a criminal warrant for his arrest had been issued. Plaintiff surrendered to the Sheriff of Madison County on December 1, 2003. Plaintiff was released on bond.

Plaintiff filed this adversary proceeding on June 1, 2004.¹ Plaintiff contends that the defendants² conspired to willfully violate the automatic stay of the Bankruptcy Code. 11 U.S.C.A. § 362. Plaintiff contends that the defendants are using the criminal proceedings to collect a civil debt. Plaintiff seeks sanctions, injunctive relief, and damages. Judge Rice filed a motion to be dismissed as a party defendant.

The automatic stay does not stay the commencement or continuation of a

¹ Plaintiff has filed a motion for leave to amend his complaint. The Court has considered the amendment in deciding the issues presented in this motion to dismiss.

² The defendants are Puckett Foundations, Becky Jones, Randy Jones, Hon. Harry F. Rice, and Robert Lavender.

criminal action or proceeding against a debtor in bankruptcy. 11 U.S.C.A. § 362 (b)(1) (West 1993).

“[C]riminal cases commenced solely to collect a debt are unaffected by the automatic stay.” Smith v. Goode, (In re Smith) 301 B.R. 96, 100 (Bankr. M.D. Ga. 2003) (Walker, J.).

Under Georgia law, a contractor commits a felony if he, with intent to defraud, fails to use the proceeds of any payment made to him to pay subcontractors for improvements made to real property. The failure to pay subcontractors is prima-facie evidence of intent to defraud. O.C.G.A. § 16-8-15 (2003).

Plaintiff admits that he received payments from the Jones. Plaintiff admits that he failed to pay his subcontractor, Puckett Foundations.

“It is well settled that a court and prosecutors enjoy judicial immunity from suit for acts taken in the course of their official judicial and prosecutorial duties. . . . Judicial officers and prosecutors are immune from damages such as these. This rule holds true even where the action seeks damages for violation of the automatic stay.” Womack v. Mays, (In re Womack), 253 B.R. 241, 242-43 (Bankr. E.D. Ark. 2000.) (Scott, J.).

See Coates v. Peachtree Apartments, (In re Coates), 108 B.R. 823 (Bankr. M.D. Ga. 1989) (Laney, J.) (municipal court marshal protected by judicial immunity from damages for violation of the automatic stay).

Since Judge Rice has immunity from damages, Plaintiff’s complaint seeking

damages must be dismissed. Plaintiff also seeks injunctive relief for which Judge Rice does not have immunity

In Barnette v. Evans,³ the Eleventh Circuit Court of Appeals “established a two-prong test for determining whether the court should enjoin a state criminal prosecution of a debtor on the ground that the prosecution will frustrate the bankruptcy judge’s jurisdiction to discharge debt. First, a debtor must establish that the criminal prosecution is brought in bad faith. Second, a debtor must establish that it would be no defense to the criminal prosecution that the prosecution was brought for the purpose of collecting a debt.” Sheppard v. Piggly Wiggly, (In re Sheppard), 2000 WL 33743081 (Bankr. M. D. Ga. 2000) (Laney, J.).

See also Anderson v. Greenway, (In re Anderson), Ch. 13, Case No. 94-30637 (Bankr. M.D. Ga. July 31, 1996) (Hershner, J.).

Plaintiff, in his complaint, sets forth no facts to demonstrate that a “debt collection defense” could not be raised in the state court criminal proceeding. The Court is persuaded that Plaintiff is not entitled to injunctive relief. The Court is persuaded that Judge Rice’s motion to be dismissed as a party defendant should be granted.

An order in accordance with this memorandum opinion shall be entered this date.

DATED this 29th day of July, 2004.

³ 673 F. 2d 1250 (11th Cir. 1982).

ROBERT F. HERSHNER, JR.
Chief Judge
United States Bankruptcy Court